

## Correction

*J Clin Invest.* 1998;101(8):1807-1807. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI119652C2>.

Correction

**Find the latest version:**

<https://jci.me/119652C2/pdf>



*Correction*

---

Bermas, B.L., and J.A. Hill.

*The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. Volume 100, No. 6, September 1997.

Pages 1330–1334.

There was an error of calculation in the Results section, page 1332, first full paragraph, lines 3–9. The sentence should read as follows:

However, during pregnancy, these responses diverged such that 73% of pregnant controls lost responsiveness to recall antigens during gestation ( $P = 0.007$ , pregnant vs. nonpregnant fertile controls), while only 41% of pregnant women with a history of RSA lost responsiveness to recall antigens ( $P = 0.032$ , pregnant vs. nonpregnant RSA subjects).

The same statistical value should be reported in line 27 of the Abstract as  $P = 0.032$ .

